Welcome!

- Audio for this event is available via GlobalMeet® Internet streaming.
- Connect via Chrome.
- No telephone line is required.
- Computer speakers or headphones are necessary to listen to streaming audio.
- Limited dial-in lines are available. Please request a dial-in line via the “Ask a Question” box.
- This event is being recorded.
Troubleshooting Audio

Audio from computer speakers breaking up?
Audio suddenly stop?
Click Refresh
– or –
Press F5
Troubleshooting Echo

• Hear a bad echo on the call?
• Echo is caused by multiple browsers/tabs open to a single event (multiple audio feeds).
• Close all but one browser/tab and the echo will clear.

Example of Two Browsers/Tabs Open in Same Event
Submitting Questions

Type questions in the “Ask a Question” section, located on the left-hand side of your screen.

Inpatient Value, Incentives, and Quality Reporting (VIQR) Outreach and Education Support Contractor

Today’s Presentation
Fluids, Lactate, and Champions:
An Emergency and Preventive Medicine Physician’s Perspective on Sepsis and the SEP-1 Core Measure

October 7, 2020
• Emergency & Preventive Medicine Physician
• Chief of Emergency Medicine, Cooley Dickinson Hospital
• National Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Councilor, American College of Emergency Physicians
• Physician Improvement Advisor, Wisconsin Hospital Association (Wisconsin Hospital Association is a member of a CMS Hospital Improvement Innovation Network.)

Dr. Redwood has no real or apparent financial relationships to report.
Disclaimer

This presentation includes evidence-based information for the clinical care of patients with sepsis and is not intended for use as abstraction guidance for SEP-1. The viewpoints shared in this presentation are those of presenter and do not necessarily represent CMS’s views.
### Acronyms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABG</td>
<td>arterial blood gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGDT</td>
<td>early goal-directed therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>length of stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCP-SCCM</td>
<td>American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>end stage renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>mean arterial pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiPap</td>
<td>bilevel positive airway pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAQ</td>
<td>frequently asked questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>milliliters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>false positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>millimeters of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>millimole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cc</td>
<td>cubic centimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>heart rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>public relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>intensive care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI</td>
<td>quality improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVF</td>
<td>intravenous fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFA</td>
<td>Sequential Organ Failure Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>cardiovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXR</td>
<td>chest x-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/0</td>
<td>urine output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBG</td>
<td>venous blood gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose

The purpose of this event is to provide a physician’s perspective on the SEP-1 measure as it relates to population health and sepsis care in the emergency department.
Objectives

Participants will be able to:

• Discuss various sepsis definitions.
• Identify key benefits of the 30 mL/kg fluid bolus in severe sepsis and septic shock.
• Identify the utility of serum lactate in screening for septic shock and guiding resuscitation efforts.
• Identify strategies for engaging a physician champion in sepsis quality improvement efforts.
Critical Elements of the 3-Hour Bundles

• Severe Sepsis 3-Hour Bundle:
  o Measure lactate level
  o Obtain blood cultures prior to administration of antibiotics
  o Administer broad spectrum antibiotics

• Septic Shock 3-Hour Bundle
  o Start 30 mL/kg crystalloid for initial hypotension or lactate greater than or equal to 4 mmol/L
Critical Elements of the 6-Hour Bundles

• Severe Sepsis 6-Hour Bundle:
  o Re-measure lactate if initial lactate elevated
    (initial lactate > 2 mmol/L)

• Septic Shock 6-Hour Bundle:
  o Apply vasopressors
    (for hypotension that does not respond to initial fluid resuscitation)
    to maintain a MAP greater than or equal to 65 mmHg
  o Reassess fluid volume status
    and tissue perfusion (for persistent hypotension or initial lactate ≥ 4 mmol/L)
# Sepsis Definitions: Old and New

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Established Definitions</th>
<th>Sepsis-3 Definitions</th>
<th>Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sepsis</strong></td>
<td>Presumed/known infection + ≥ 2 systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria</td>
<td>≥ 2 Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) criteria (present or increased)</td>
<td>Sepsis = severe sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Includes hypotension + normal lactate (shock)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severe Sepsis</strong></td>
<td>Sepsis + end organ dysfunction, Lactate &gt; 2</td>
<td>Not a category</td>
<td>“Sepsis” = established severe sepsis definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Septic Shock</strong></td>
<td>Sepsis + refractory hypotension (+/- lactate)</td>
<td>Vasopressors and lactate &gt; 2 mmol/L</td>
<td>Sepsis + refractory hypotension (+/- lactate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality ratio =</strong></td>
<td>Sepsis = low acuity</td>
<td>Sepsis = higher acuity</td>
<td><strong>NA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed</strong></td>
<td>Observed mortality low</td>
<td>Observed mortality higher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>expected</strong></td>
<td>Expected mortality low</td>
<td>Expected mortality low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expected mortality low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sharp, B. “CMS SEP-1/Sepsis Updates.” Presentation at Wisconsin American College of Emergency Physicians Spring Symposium, Milwaukee, WI, April. 3 2019.
What’s in a Name?

There are multiple sepsis definitions discussed in clinical literature. SEP-1 is based off the 1991 Consensus Conference definition and this definition is useful for clinical practice.

My definitions based on clinical research and experience:
- Really sick (sepsis with lactate $\geq 4 = 30\%$ mortality)
- Ready to crump (sepsis with low BP = 36.7% mortality)
- Transfer please! (low BP + lactate $\geq 4 = 46.1\%$ mortality)


Fluids
Early Goal Directed Therapy Controversy and Rebuttal

• Promise, Process, & Arise Trials. 2015.
  o EGDT is not superior to usual care for ED patients with septic shock but is associated with increased utilization of ICU resources.

• Rebuttals
    ▪ Analysis of data from the three trials showed a lower risk of serious adverse events in the EGDT group compared to usual care.
  o Jaehne et al 2018.
    ▪ “Hydrophobia is unwarranted in early sepsis care. Recent EGDT septic shock trials used similar amounts resuscitation fluids in the acute phase when compared to the original EGDT trial. This was associated all time lows in mortality.”
  o Ding et al. 2018 (Meta-analysis, 16 Studies, 6000 patients)
    ▪ EGDT reduces mortality in adult patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. Lactate guided therapy may result in an even greater mortality benefit than EGDT.

"30 by 3" in CHF

- Leisman et al. 2016
  - Early initiation of IV fluids improves survival in severe sepsis or septic shock.
  - IV fluids within 30 minutes had a mortality of 13.3% compared to 18% in patients receiving IVF later

- Rourke et al. 2019 (1114 septic patients, 229 with CHF)
  - Decreased mortality in 30 by 3 cohort, no significant increase in iatrogenic pulmonary edema. Longer LOS if 30 by 3 not given.
  - Physicians reluctant to give 30 by 3 because of “CHF” label on chart (not because of exam, CXR findings, or last ejection fraction)

- Duttuluri et al. 2016 (1010 septic patients, 333 with CHF)
  - In patients with pre-existing CHF presenting with severe sepsis and septic shock and hypotension, inadequate fluid resuscitation (<30 mL/kg) increases in-hospital mortality and intubation rates.


“30 by 3” Despite Comorbidities in Sepsis

- Your patient may well need more than 30 mL/kg; use defined endpoints to guide further fluid resuscitation.
  - U/O >0.5 cc/kg/hr, MAP >65, Normalization of lactate.
- In CHF/ESRD, prioritize fluid resuscitation over respiratory status.
  - Iatrogenic fluid overload is uncommon in severe sepsis (even in CHF/ESRD).
  - Re-assess, re-assess, re-assess.
  - You’re probably not saving an intubation in the ED; these patients often get crash intubations on the floor or get tubed in the ICU.
- Play The Worst-Case Scenario Game.
  - Iatrogenic pulmonary edema or respiratory distress.
  - Patient may need BiPAP, emergent dialysis or intubation.
  - This is a NET GOOD compared to CV collapse and death from septic shock.

Who should not get the 30 by 3?

- In general, very few exceptions
  - Patients who are not truly septic (false positives)
  - Patients with clinical pulmonary edema (even in this situation, a fluid challenge may be appropriate)
  - COVID-19 patients who are not clearly dehydrated
  - Comfort care patients
  - Patient refusal after shared decision making
- Not exceptions
  - CHF patients with sepsis
  - ESRD patients with sepsis
  - Morbidly obese patients with sepsis

Lactate
Highlight on Serum Lactate: Definition and FAQs

- Lactate corresponds with adrenergic state and cytokine storm (not tissue hypoxia as previously believed).
- Do I need an arterial lactate? No, excellent correlation between ABG & VBG.
- Are tourniquet samples ok? Yes.
- Un-iced sample ok? Yes, for 15 minutes.
- Acceptable turn-around time? <1-minute test, 30-minute result in ED is reasonable
- Is lactate expensive? No, $39.53.
- Note: These recommendations come from clinical research and experience and are not necessarily specified in the SEP-1 measure specifications.


Highlight on Serum Lactate: Two Main Lactate Critiques

• It’s a non-specific test with a broad differential diagnosis. Why are we relying so heavily on this?
• Why are we using 2.0 as a lactate cut-off; it’s only meaningful after 4.0?

#1 Lactate Critique

• Question #1: It’s a non-specific test with a broad differential diagnosis. Why are we relying so heavily on this?

• Answer: The lactate is a screening test designed to cast a wide net. False positives are expected.

• Recall: Lactate is an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. Even if your patient is not septic, lactate levels STILL predict mortality. (Is that not useful?)

# Lactic Acidosis

## Differential Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type A: Adrenergic State</th>
<th>Type B: Not Adrenergic State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shock</strong></td>
<td>Toxins (Cocaine and toxic alcohols)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Common Cause</td>
<td>Pharmacological agents (i.e. metformin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-cardiac arrest</td>
<td>Thiamine deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional tissue ischemia</td>
<td>Malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic muscle activity</td>
<td>Liver failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitochondrial disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetic ketoacidosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question #2: Why are we using 2.0 as a lactate cut-off? It’s only meaningful after 4.0.

Answer: Err on the side of conservative cut-offs and let the epidemiology experts adjust it as we gather more meta-data on our outcomes.

Champions
Physician Engagement:
Role of the SEP-1 Physician Champion

- Be available for special tasks (often one-time responsibilities) that will help move the project forward.
- Be an ambassador for the QI team.
- Provide real-time feedback on QI projects.
- Be willing to be the first physician to trial the changes.
- Help guide expectations/accountability when building ongoing measures into the medical staff structure.
Physician Engagement: Key Traits of the SEP-1 Physician Champion

- **Must Have**: Believer in the SEP-1 core measure and consistent presence in your hospital

- **Bonus**:
  - Personal commitment
  - Professional credibility
  - Active participation in a quality improvement team
  - Skills in quality improvement (or desire to develop them)
  - An effective relationship with other groups (ex: administration, nursing) in the hospital
Physician Engagement: How to Retain or Lose a Physician Champion

• Retention: The Right “Ask”
  o Ask for clinical expertise; don’t expect a volunteer QI professional.
  o Be strategic in their involvement.
    ▪ Do they need to be present for every meeting?
      Best to engage when it involves changing practice.
  o Respect their time.
    ▪ Offload them of clerical, organizational, or mundane tasks whenever possible.
    ▪ Use non-meeting meetings.

• Buh-Bye: The Wrong “Ask”
  o Pushing for interventions that are overly burdensome to clinical work-flow.
  o Putting them in an adversarial position with their peers or medical director.
  o Asking them to abandon their physician voice (i.e. anecdotes/PR talk).
Summary Points

• Fluids
  o “30 by 3” is safe and should be administered in severe sepsis and septic shock despite co-morbidities.
  o CHF on the chart ≠ 0% ejection fraction. Most septic CHF patients can tolerate the 30 by 3.
  o Iatrogenic fluid overload in ED sepsis resuscitation is rare and highly avoidable with frequent reassessment.

• Lactate
  o Lactate is a screening test for shock and an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality.
  o False positives are expected, easily dealt with, and have little effect on clinical care.

• Physician Engagement
  o Find a believer and a stable presence.
  o Their main role is promoting education and behavior change; make the rest easy.
The Wisconsin Experience

Road Map to Success

• Create and activate an interdisciplinary team (include ED and ICU)
• Establish process for routine screening in all patient areas with a standardized screening tool
• Design automated alerts for severe sepsis/septic shock
• Standardize care protocols for patients who screen positive
• Keep the conversation going (i.e. showcase your results—good or bad—on a “scoreboard” in a clinical, but public area)
• Keep your compass at true north and never give up!
Wisconsin Hospital Sepsis Outcomes

Wisconsin Hospital Sepsis Mortality Rate*

2010 25.2%

2018 17.1%

32% Decrease

*Wisconsin Hospital Association
Resources

• For questions, please contact Dr. Bobby Redwood at:
  rredwood@cooleydickinson.org
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Questions
Continuing Education (CE) Approval

This program has been approved for CE credit for the following boards:

- **National credit**
  - Board of Registered Nursing (Provider #16578)

- **Florida-only credit**
  - Board of Clinical Social Work, Marriage & Family Therapy and Mental Health Counseling
  - Board of Registered Nursing
  - Board of Nursing Home Administrators
  - Board of Dietetics and Nutrition Practice Council
  - Board of Pharmacy

**Note:** To verify CE approval for any other state, license, or certification, please check with your licensing or certification board.
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Thank you
Disclaimer

This presentation was current at the time of publication and/or upload onto the Quality Reporting Center and QualityNet websites. Medicare policy changes frequently. Any links to Medicare online source documents are for reference use only. In the case that Medicare policy, requirements, or guidance related to this presentation change following the date of posting, this presentation will not necessarily reflect those changes; given that it will remain as an archived copy, it will not be updated.

This presentation was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. Any references or links to statutes, regulations, and/or other policy materials included in the presentation are provided as summary information. No material contained therein is intended to take the place of either written laws or regulations. In the event of any conflict between the information provided by the presentation and any information included in any Medicare rules and/or regulations, the rules and regulations shall govern. The specific statutes, regulations, and other interpretive materials should be reviewed independently for a full and accurate statement of their contents.